1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 6 7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 8 Plaintiff, Case No. CR06 -389 JCC 9 v. PROPOSED FINDINGS OF AHMAD SAMIR SARHAN, **FACT AND DETERMINATION** AS TO ALLEGED 11 Defendant. VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE 12 13 INTRODUCTION 14 I conducted a hearing on alleged violations of supervised release in this case on November 15 17, 2008. The defendant appeared pursuant to a bond. The United States was represented by 16 Michael Scoville, and defendant was represented by Carol Koller. Also present was U.S. 17 Probation Officer Brian Facklam. The proceedings were digitally recorded. 18 SENTENCE AND PRIOR VIOLATIONS 19 On October 12, 2005, the Honorable Lonnie R. Suko sentenced defendant to 8 months of 20 imprisonment and three years of supervision following defendant's plea to conspiracy to commit 21 credit card fraud. On October 16, 2006, the probation office notified the Eastern District of 22 Washington that defendant had been arrested for driving under the influence (DUI). The court 23 ordered no action be taken, pending the outcome of the charge and transferred jurisdiction to the PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND DETERMINATION AS TO VIOLATIONS OF

SUPERVISED RELEASE -1

Western District of Washington on October 24, 2006. In March, 2007 a violation notice and request for no action was submitted to the court alleging defendant had committed assault. Because defendant was contesting both the driving under the influence and assault charges, the probation office recommended no action until the criminal charges were resolved in the state courts. After the assault charge was resolved, defendant agreed to a modification of 60 days home confinement and a drug testing/treatment condition. The court signed a modification August 17, 2007.

PRESENTLY ALLEGED VIOLATIONS AND DEFENDANT'S ADMISSION OF THE VIOLATION

In a petition dated October 17, 2008, Supervising U.S. Probation Officer Brian Facklam alleged that defendant violated the following conditions of supervised release:

- 1. Committing the offense of driving under the influence on or about September 12, 2008 in violation of the condition that defendant not commit another crime.
- 2. Committing the offense of driving under the influence on or about October 12, 2008 in violation of the condition that defendant not commit another crime.
 - 3. Using marijuana on or before October 2, 2008, in violation of standard condition seven.

The parties stipulated to the admissibility of Exhibit 1 (law enforcement narrative report of defendant's arrest) and Exhibit 2 (DWI arrest report). The exhibits, established defendant was arrested on or about September 12, 2008 for driving under the influence and that a breath test showed defendant had a blood-alcohol level of between .111 and .113. Based on this evidence, the court found that defendant had committed violation one. Defendant admitted violation three. He was advised of his right to an evidentiary hearing and waived any hearing as to whether the violations occurred. The court granted the government's motion to dismiss violation two.

1	4. Defendant was advised that a disposition hearing was scheduled for December 5, 2008, at
2	9 AM before the Honorable John C. Coughenour. Defendant remains in the community.
3	RECOMMENDED FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS
4	Based upon the foregoing, I recommend the court find that defendant has violated the
5	conditions of his supervised release as alleged above, and conduct a disposition hearing.
6	DATED this 17 th day of November, 2008.
7	
8	BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA
9	United States Magistrate Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND DETERMINATION AS TO VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE -3